

United States District Court
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Ashley Adams,
Plaintiff,

v.

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NUMBER: 04-251 JJF

JoEllen Sheldon,
Defendant.

TO: Records Custodian
Union Hospital
106 Bow Street
Elkton, MD 21921

Duces Tecum

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE and Time

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case.

PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME
X YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):	

Any and all medical records, reports, notes, test results, in your possession or available to you for Ashley Adams, a/k/a Doris Adams, sometimes known as Ashley Vickers, Doris Vickers, Ashley Johnson and Doris Johnson; DOB: 8/2/1952 or 8/2/1956.

PLACE	DATE AND TIME
Casarino, Christman & Shalk, P.A. Suite 200 800 N. King Street, P.O. Box 1276 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-1276	December 7, 2005 10:00 a.m.

YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).	

ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)



DATE

11/16/05

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER

Beth H. Christman, Esq., Casarino, Christman & Shalk, P.A., 800 N. King Street, Suite 200, P.O. Box 1276, Wilmington, DE 19899

for Defendant

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D on Reverse)

cc: Joseph M. Jachetti, Esq.
Charles S. Cooper, Esq.
Plaintiff's attorneys

PROOF OF SERVICE

SERVED Union Hospital	DATE 11/18/2005 @ 1:05 p.m.	PLACE 106 Bow Street Elkton, MD 21921
Served on (Print Name) Donna Frick		Manner records custodian
Served by (Print Name) David W. Phillips		Title Special Process Server

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Delaware that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on 11/21/2005

Date

Signature of Server

P.O. Box 368

Wilmington, DE 19899-0368

Address of Server

Superior Court Civil Rule 45, Parts C, D & E:**(c) Protection of Persons Subject to Subpoenas.**

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The Court shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2)(A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the Court. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.

(3)(A) On timely motion, the Court shall quash or modify the subpoena if it:

- (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,
- (ii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or
- (iii) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or;

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, the Court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the Court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) Duties In Responding to Subpoena.

(1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(e) Contempt.

Failure by a person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of court.